

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bac. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/735,260	12/12/2000	Ronald J. Parise	97-1775-A 7673	
7590 02/10/2005		EXAMINER .		
Robert J. Feltovic			PARSONS, THOMAS H	
55 Griffin Road South Bloomfield, CT 06002			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1745	
•			DATE MAILED: 02/10/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		11/	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/735,260	PARISE, RONALD J.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas H. Parsons	1745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 No.	ovember 2004.		
<u> </u>	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the ments is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) 19-21 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 and 22-27 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the ${ t E}$	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	-, ,	·	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	- · · · · ·	• •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H.S.C. & 110(a)	o-(d) or (f)	
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	∧ ⊠ •	(DTO 442)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔀 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	_	atent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 1745

Response to Amendment

This is in response to the Amendment filed 30 November 2004.

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Page 1:

line 15, suggest changing "global warming" to --thermal pollution--;

Page 6:

Suggest deleting the text

$$_{-}^{"} = [1 + Z((T_H + T_L) / 2)]^{1/2}$$

and"

and reinserting the same after line 1;

Page 6:

line 5, suggest changing "Voc" to -- Voc--;

Page 7:

line 18, suggest changing "Global Warming" to --thermal pollution--;

line 20, suggest changing "three" to --two--;

Page 8:

line 2, suggest inserting "and" before "a";

line 6, suggest changing the semicolon after "atmosphere" to a period;

Art Unit: 1745

lines 6 and 7, suggest deleting the text "and the possible depletion of the ozone layer due to interactions with chemicals that have been discharged into the atmosphere during this period.";

Page 10:

line 2, suggest inserting "of objects" after the text "actual cooling";

lines 2-5, suggest deleting the text beginning "In reality, global warming may not..." and ending "...For Example,";

line 5, suggest changing "if" has been changed to --If--;

line 15, suggest inserting "objects on" after the text "the temperature of";

lines 19-20, suggest deleting the text "Therefore, if global warming is a problem, which it may well be, then we can help reduce that problem significantly." and replacing the same with the text --Therefore, any wayward thermal energy in the atmosphere can be radiated to deep space.--;

line 22, suggest changing "to reduce" to --for cooling--;

line 23, suggest changing "global warming" to --objects on the earth--;

Page 11:

line 4, suggest inserting "objects on" after "energy from";

line 6, suggest inserting "objects on" after "to cool";

Page 12:

Suggest deleting lines 7-23;

Page 13:

Suggest deleting lines 1 through line 8, ending with the word "available";

Art Unit: 1745

line 9, suggest changing the text "still be utilized if necessary to dump" to --be used to transmit--;

line 18, suggest changing "anti-global warming" to "thermal energy transmitting";

line 21, suggest changing "anti-global warming" to "thermal energy transmitting";

Page 14:

line 6, suggest changing "anti-global warming" to "thermal energy transmitting";

line 20, suggest changing "anti-global warming" to "thermal energy transmitting";

Page 18:

Line 6, suggest changing "anti-global warming" to "thermal energy transmitting";

line 19, suggest changing "anti-global warming" to "thermal energy transmitting";

line 21, suggest changing "global warming" to "thermal pollution";

Page 45:

line 13, suggest changing "anti-global warming" to "thermal energy transmitting";

line 15, suggest changing "anti-global warming" to "thermal energy transmitting";

Page 46:

Art Unit: 1745

lines 18-20, suggest changing the text "with the commitment of the automobile manufacturers to help alleviate the problem of global warming" to "to transmit thermal pollution from the vehicle engine into deep space";

Page 47:

line 16, suggest changing "anti-global warming" to "thermal energy transmitting";

line 19, suggest changing "anti-global warming" to "thermal energy transmitting";

Page 48:

line 1, suggest changing "anti-global warming" to --thermal energy transmitting--;

line 12, suggest changing "anti-global warming" to "thermal energy transmitting";

line 14, suggest changing the text "the art of global warming" to "thermal pollution";

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1745

2. Claims 1-5, 9-13, 18 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lemley (4,338,560).

Claim 1: Lemley in Figure 2 discloses a method for radiating thermal energy from a terrestrial position into deep space comprising arranging a thermal transmitting material over an object not in direct sunlight (col. 1: 6-10), the thermal energy transmitting material positioned to remove waste heat proximate and external the object thereby reducing thermal pollution from a terrestrial position into deep space; and, positioning the thermal energy transmitting material so that a transmitting surface thereof (radiators) faces deep space such that fluid communication therebetween consists of deep space and the transmitting surface, the material having spectral surface properties of high emissivity (radiation absorptive and radiation emissive material, such as metal) (abs.; Figure 2 showing collectors 10 and radiators 22, both of a radiation absorptive and radiation emissive material, and coated with a polyester film, and facing earth and deep space, respectively; col. 2: 14-68).

As to the recitations "material configured and removably positioned" and "wherein the object includes objects one the surface of the earth and proximate thereto", column 2, lines 38 through column 3, line 5 discloses that the size of the array relative to a vehicle depends upon the amount of power required by the vehicle which also appears to be a function of the altitude and the IR flux on the a body at that altitude (see equation 1). This disclosure suggests a "thermal energy transmitting material configured and removably positioned" on an object proximate the earth depending upon desired size and power requirements.

Art Unit: 1745

Although Lemley does not specifically recite "...in a spectral band substantially transparent to the atmosphere of the earth.", it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have expected the material of Lemley to provide the claimed properties as both metals are similar, if not the same, in structure and function.

Claim 2: Although Lemley does not specifically recite that the terrestrial object is covered with the transmitting material only at intervals during which the object is not in direct sunlight; it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have expected the object to covered with the transmitting material only at intervals during which the object is not in direct sunlight in light of Lemley's teaching on column 1, lines 5-11 that transmission occurs during day and/or night.

Claims 3 and 11: Although Lemley is silent with respect to the material having a normal spectral emissivity ranging from 0.8 to about 1.0, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have expected the material of Lemley (aluminum as disclosed on col. 2: 19) to provide the claimed material (aluminum) property as both materials are similar in structure and function.

Claims 4 and 12: Although Lemley is silent with regards to the material having a low absorptivity in all spectral bands, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have expected the material of Lemley to provide the claimed material property as both materials are similar in structure and function.

Art Unit: 1745

Claims 5 and 13: Although Lemley is silent with regards to the material having an absorptivity ranging from about 0.3 to about 0.0, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have expected the material of Lemley to provide the claimed material property as both materials are similar in structure and function.

Claims 6 and 14: Lemley discloses that the spectral band is selected from about 8T to about 13T (col. 2: 44-68).

Claims 9 and 18: Although Lemley is silent with regards to material comprising a coating that reflects incoming thermal infrared electromagnetic energy, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have expected the material of Lemley to provide the material property as both materials are similar in structure and function.

Claim 10: Lemley in Figure 2 discloses a device for transmitting thermal energy from an object into deep space comprising a thermal transmitting material designed to cover an object not in direct sunlight (col. 1: 6-10), the thermal energy transmitting material positioned to remove waste heat proximate and external the object thereby reducing thermal pollution from a terrestrial position into deep space; and, positioning the thermal energy transmitting material so that a transmitting surface thereof (radiators) faces deep space such that fluid communication therebetween consists of deep space and the transmitting surface, the material having spectral surface properties of high emissivity (radiation absorptive and radiation emissive material, such as metal) (abs.; Figure 2 showing collectors 10 and radiators 22, both of a radiation absorptive and radiation

Art Unit: 1745

emissive material, and coated with a polyester film, and facing earth and deep space, respectively; col. 2: 14-68).

As to the recitations "material configured and removably positioned" and "wherein the object includes objects one the surface of the earth and proximate thereto", column 2, lines 38 through column 3, line 5 discloses that the size of the array relative to a vehicle depends upon the amount of power required by the vehicle which also appears to be a function of the altitude and the IR flux on the a body at that altitude. This disclosure suggests a "thermal energy transmitting material configured and removably positioned" on an object proximate the earth depending upon desired size and power requirements.

Although Lemley does not specifically recite "... in a spectral band substantially transparent to the atmosphere of the earth.", it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have expected the material of Lemley to provide the claimed properties as both metals are similar, if not the same, in structure and function.

Claim 22: Lemley discloses that the thermal transmitting material is positioned in thermal contact with a heat transfer surface (Figure 2 showing thermal transmitting material 10, in thermal contact with thermoelectric elements 14 and 16; col. 2: 6-10; and 25-37).

2. Claims 6, 7, 14, 16, and 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lemley as applied to claims 1, 3, 10 and 11 above, and further in view of Chang et al. (5,405,680).

Art Unit: 1745

Lemley is as applied, argued, and disclosed above, and incorporated herein.

Claims 6, 14, 7 and 16: Lemley does not disclose specific spectral bands or a suspension of a spectral substance in a polymer base.

Claims 6 and 14: Chang et al. disclose that the spectral band is selected from the group consisting of about 8 1m to about 13 1m (col. 3:41-51; col. 4: 5-9; col. 6: 32-37; and col. 7: 40-41).

Claims 7 and 16: Chang et al. disclose that the material comprises a suspension of a spectral substance (semimetal and selective emissive material) in a polymer base (paint).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have substituted the material of Lemley by with the material of Chang et al. because Change et al. teach materials that would have provided a selective emissivity function high in the 8-13 micron wavelength region thereby improving the mechanism for radiative cooling of enclosures.

Claims 24-27: Chang et al. disclose that the object is an automobile, a vehicle (which would encompasses an airplane, a house or building structure. These structures would obviously be located between about an altitude of flying aircraft and about the surface of the earth and between an altitude of about 60,000 feet from the surface of the earth and about the surface of the earth, as set forth in claims 26 and 27.

3. Claims 8 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lemley, and further in view of Chang et al. as applied to claim 1, 3, 7, 10 and 11 above, and further in view of Altman.

Art Unit: 1745

Lemley and Chang et al. are as applied, argued, and disclosed above, and incorporated herein.

Lemley and Chang et al. do not disclose spectral substance selected from the group consisting of carbon black acetylene soot, camphor soot, zinc sulfide, silver chloride, potassium chloride, and zinc selenide.

Altman disclose a spectral substance (infrared radiation transmitting material) selected from the group consisting zinc sulfide and zinc selenide (col. 4:42-47).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the method of Chang et al. by using the spectral substance of Altman because Altman teaches a spectral substance that would have provide for a continuous, uninterrupted and unobscured flow of heat thereby improving the overall method of localized cooling of relatively small regions.

18. Claims 15 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lemly as applied to claim 10 respectively, above, and further in view of Stearns (3,053,923)

Change et al. are as applied, argued, and disclosed above, and incorporated herein.

Chang et al. do not disclose that the heat transfer surface and the thermal transmitting material are disposed within a pressure cell having a pressure less than ambient.

Art Unit: 1745

Steams in Figure 4 discloses a heat transfer surface and thermal transmitting material disposed within a pressure cell having a pressure less than ambient (gas-tight, transparent envelop 72).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of Lemley. by using the pressure cell of Stearns because Stearns discloses a pressure cell that would have prevented hot junctions from being cooled by convection thereby improving the overall performance of the method.

Allowable Subject Matter

15. Claims 19-21 are allowable over the prior art of record.

Reasons for Indicating Allowable Subject Matter

16. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Altman teaches an upper surface (i.e. a first junction surface) composed of a material having a high absorptive power for the solar spectrum and a low thermal emissivity wherein the first junction surface is in thermal contact with one of deep space and solar energy. The claimed invention comprises a first junction surface in thermal contact with one of deep space and solar energy wherein the first surface has a high thermal emissivity toward the atmosphere of the earth.

Therefore, a search of the prior art of record failed to reveal or explicitly teach, alone or in combination, what is instantly claimed: in particular,

Art Unit: 1745

An electricity generating device for use in an environment having an ambient pressure, comprising a first junction surface in thermal contact with one of deep space and solar energy, said first surface having a high thermal emissivity toward the atmosphere of the earth; a second junction surface in thermal contact with an object located at about a surface of the earth or proximate thereto; and an electricity generating cell intermediate the first and second junction surfaces; wherein the first and second junction surfaces are at a temperature different from each other producing a thermoelectric potential between the first and second junction surfaces.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 30 November 2004 with respect to claims 1-18 and 22-27 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant argues on page 11, first full paragraph that Lemley declares that his device is used for "converting the earth's albedo", that is, the infrared radiation of the earth, to "direct current. This cannot be done in a terrestrial position (e.g., close to the surface of the earth). The earth's albedo cannot be "collected" at its' surface; it must be done at thousands of feet above the surface-hence non-terrestrial.

In response, the Applicant has not provided any comparative data showing difference in performance between the Lemley device and that instantly disclosed.

Further, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have expected the material of Lemley to provide the claimed properties as both devices are the same in structure and function. Note that in the

Art Unit: 1745

telephone interview conducted on 25 January 2005, the Applicant agreed that the Lemley device would function the same as claimed.

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas H. Parsons whose telephone number is (571) 272-1290. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:00-4:30) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pat Ryan can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/735,260 Page 15

Art Unit: 1745

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas H Parsons Examiner Art Unit 1745

PATRICK JOSEPH RYAN.
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER